Structure of Compact Stars in Pion Superfluid Phase

Shijun Mao
School of Science, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, P. R. China
(Dated: February 20, 2014)

The gross structure of compact stars composed of pion superfluid quark matter is investigated in the frame of Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model. Under the Pauli-Villars regularization scheme, the uncertainty of the thermodynamic functions for inhomogeneous states is cured, and the LOFF state appeared in the hard cutoff scheme is removed from the phase diagram of pion superfluid. Different from the unpaired quark matter and color superconductor, the strongly coupled pion superfluid in the state close to the BCS limit is a possible candidate of compact stars with mass $M \simeq 3M_\odot$ and radius $R \simeq 14$ km.

PACS numbers: 26.60.-c, 21.65.Qr, 11.30.Qc

arXiv:1402.4564v1 [nucl-th] 19 Feb 2014

It is widely accepted that the Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) phases at high density might be realized in the core of compact stars [1–3]. For normal quark matter without quark pairings, the equation of state is too soft and thus unable to explain the existence of massive compact stars [4, 5]. When diquark pairing is taken into account, the obtained mass-radius relation for compact stars composed of color superconductor [6, 7] is almost the same as of unpaired quark matter, since the diquark condensate is much less than the corresponding Fermi energy [8–10]. However, as estimated from the tree level QCD perturbation theory, the attractive interaction between a quark and an antiquark is stronger than the quark-quark interaction, and thus the equation of state for a pion superfluid [11, 12] with large pion condensate will be stiffer in comparison with the color superconductor and may be used to describe the massive compact stars.

Since any QCD phase transition is a nonperturbative phenomenon and its treatment by directly using the QCD itself is still an open question, effective models with QCD symmetries are often used to determine the equation of state of the stellar matter under extreme conditions. One of such models to study the QCD phase structure at finite temperature and density is the Nambu–Jona-Lasinio model (NJL) [13, 14] at quark level [15–19], which is inspired by the Bardeen-Cooper-Shrieffer (BCS) theory and describes well the quark pairing mechanisms. At zero baryon chemical potential, the quark and antiquark form coherent pairs and condense on a uniform Fermi surface, when the isospin chemical potential is larger than the pion mass $\mu_I > m_\pi$. Inside the pion superfluid phase, there appears a smooth crossover between the BCS condensation of fermions with large and overlapped pairs and the Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) of molecules with small and distinguished pairs [20–24]. When the baryon chemical potential is switched on, there appears a Fermi surface mismatch between the quark and antiquark, and the inhomogeneous states, like the Larkin-Ovchinnikov-Fulde-Ferrel state (LOFF) [25, 26], and the gapless Sarma state [27, 28] may enter the phase diagram.

The NJL model with contact interaction between quarks is non-renormalizable, and one requires a regularization scheme to avoid the divergent momentum integrations. A straightforward and widely used scheme is to directly introduce a hard cutoff Λ for the quark momentum, which together with the other model parameters can be determined by fitting the quark and meson properties in vacuum. Under such a regularization scheme, one assumes that the temperature and chemical potential of the quark system should be less than the cutoff, $T, \mu < \Lambda$. The NJL model with the cutoff can describe well the phase of chiral symmetry breaking at low temperature and density and the homogeneous color superconductor and pion superfluid at moderate density. However, when the hard cutoff is applied to deal with the inhomogeneous LOFF state of relativistic quark systems, unphysical terms occur due to the lack of the invariance of space translation. It is a nontrival problem to properly renormalize the spurious contribution [29–32]. To avoid the shortcomings arising from the hard cutoff in the study of dense quark matter, we take the Pauli-Villars regularization scheme in our calculation in the frame of NJL model [15–19, 33, 34] where the quark momentum runs formally from zero to infinity.

The two-flavor NJL model at quark level is defined through the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}\left(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m_0 + \gamma_0\hat{\mu}\right)\psi + G\left[\left(\bar{\psi}\psi\right)^2 + \left(\bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\tau\psi\right)^2\right] \tag{1}$$

with scalar and pseudoscalar interactions corresponding to σ and π excitations, where $\hat{\mu} = diag\left(\mu_u, \mu_d\right) = diag\left(\mu_B/3 + \mu_I/2, \mu_B/3 - \mu_I/2\right)$ is the quark chemical potential matrix with μ_u and μ_d being the u- and d-quark chemical potentials and μ_B and μ_I the baryon and isospin chemical potentials. At $\mu_I = 0$, the Lagrangian density has the symmetry of $U_B(1) \bigotimes SU_I(2) \bigotimes SU_A(2)$ corresponding to baryon number symmetry, isospin symmetry and chiral symmetry, respectively. At $\mu_I \neq 0$, the symmetry $SU_I(2)$ firstly breaks down to global $U_I(1)$ symmetry explicitly at $|\mu_I| < m_\pi$, and then the $U_I(1)$ is spontaneously broken at $|\mu_I| > m_\pi$ and the system enters the pion superfluid phase, where m_π is the pion mass in vacuum. At $\mu_B = 0$, the Fermi surfaces of $u(d)$ and anti-$d(u)$ quarks coincide, and hence the $\pi_+(\pi_-)$ condensation is favored at sufficiently high $\mu_I > 0(\mu_I < 0)$. Finite μ_B provides a mismatch between the two Fermi surfaces and may lead to gapless or inhomogeneous LOFF pion condensation. In this case, we should consider the competition among homogeneous gapped, homogeneous gapless and inhomogeneous LOFF states.

Since μ_I is large in the pion superfluid phase, we

neglect the possibility of diquark condensation which is favored at large μ_B and small μ_I and consider only chiral condensate

$$\sigma = \langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle, \tag{2}$$

and pion condensate

$$\pi e^{-2i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} = \sqrt{2}\langle\bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\tau_-\psi\rangle = 2\langle\bar{d}i\gamma_5 u\rangle \tag{3}$$

at $\mu_I > 0$. The phase factor $\theta = 2\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}$ related to the condensates π indicates the direction of the $U_I(1)$ symmetry breaking. We recover the homogeneous superfluid state with $\mathbf{q} = 0$ and obtain the inhomogeneous LOFF state with $\mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Note that we consider here only the single-plane-wave LOFF state for simplicity.

At mean field level, the inverse quark propagator matrix in the flavor space as a function of quark momentum $\mathbf{p}$, pair momentum $\mathbf{q}$, effective pion condensate $\Delta = -2G\pi$ and dynamical quark mass $m = m_0 - 2G\sigma$ is derived directly,

$$\mathcal{S}^{-1}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q},\Delta,m) = \tag{4}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma^\mu p_\mu - \gamma\cdot\mathbf{q} + \mu_u\gamma_0 - m & -i\gamma_5\Delta \\ -i\gamma_5\Delta & \gamma^\mu p_\mu + \gamma\cdot\mathbf{q} + \mu_d\gamma_0 - m \end{pmatrix}$$

and the thermodynamic potential of the system can be expressed as

$$\Omega(q,\Delta,m) = \frac{1}{4G}\left[(m-m_0)^2 + \Delta^2\right] - \frac{T}{V}\ln\det\mathcal{S}^{-1}. \tag{5}$$

Note that the thermodynamic potential is a function of $q = |\mathbf{q}|$, which means that the direction of $\mathbf{q}$ is spontaneously generated and it does not change any physical quantity. The gap equations to determine physical quantities $m(T,\mu)$, $\Delta(T,\mu)$ and $q(T,\mu)$ at finite temperature and chemical potential can be derived by minimizing the thermodynamic potential,

$$\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial m} = \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\Delta} = \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial q} = 0. \tag{6}$$

Once the thermodynamic potential Ω is known, the thermodynamic functions such as the pressure P, entropy density s, charge number densities n_B and n_I and energy density ϵ can be obtained by the thermodynamical relations,

$$\begin{aligned} P &= -\Omega, \quad s = -\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial T}, \quad n_B = -\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\mu_B}, \quad n_I = -\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\mu_I}, \\ \epsilon &= -P + Ts + \mu_I n_I + \mu_B n_B. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

To solve the gap equations and calculate the thermodynamic functions numerically, we should first fix the model parameters. In any regularization scheme, the NJL model requires three parameters, the coupling strength G, a regulator Λ and the current quark mass m_0. They are fixed by fitting the vacuum properties of the system, such as the quark condensate density $\langle u\bar{u}\rangle = \langle d\bar{d}\rangle$=(-250MeV)^3, pion decay constant $f_\pi = 93$ MeV and pion mass $m_\pi = 134$ MeV [15–19].

One of the widely used regularization scheme is the hard three-momentum cutoff. The procedure is straightforward — a cutoff $p < \Lambda$ is imposed on all momentum integrals after carrying out the Matsubara summation for p_0. This hard cutoff scheme presents reasonable results for the study of homogeneous chiral, diquark and pion condensations [15–19]. However, when the inhomogeneous LOFF state is introduced, such regularization causes unphysical effects because it removes the spatial symmetry of the related quasiparticle spectra [21, 29–32]. For instance, the thermodynamic potential $\Omega(q,\Delta,m)$ outside the pion superfluid should automatically recover the case of free quark gas, $\Omega(q,0,m) = \Omega(0,0,m)$. However, under the hard cutoff scheme, one can prove

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(q,0,m) - \Omega(0,0,m) &= q\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial q}|_{q=0} + \frac{q^2}{2}\frac{\partial^2\Omega}{\partial q^2}|_{q=0} + ... \\ &= -\frac{3q^2}{\pi^2}\int_0^\Lambda \frac{p^2dp}{\sqrt{p^2+m^2}} + ... \\ &\neq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

In this case, the thermodynamical potential does not have a well defined minimum to determine the inhomogeneous equilibrium state. In order to calculate the phase structure of QCD system, various substraction procedures to avoid the unphysical terms are proposed, which correspond physically to a vanishing superfluid density [31, 35, 36].

To have a uniform regularization for the study of homogeneous and inhomogeneous pion superfluid phases, we take a Pauli-Villars scheme, where one introduces an arbitrary number of regulating masses m_j and constants c_j and choose them in such a way that the divergence can be removed by the cancelation among the subtraction terms.

After diagonalize the quark propagator $\mathcal{S}$, the thermodynamic potential can be expressed as a summation of quasiparticle contributions,

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{4G}\left[(m-m_0)^2 + \Delta^2\right] - 2N_c\int\frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3}\sum_{i=1}^{4} g\left[\omega_i(\mathbf{p})\right], \tag{9}$$

where g is the thermodynamic distribution function for fermions $g(x) = x/2 + T\ln(1+e^{-x/T})$ and ω_i are the quasiparticle dispersions,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1(\mathbf{p}) &= E_+ + \epsilon_- + \mu_B/3, \\ \omega_2(\mathbf{p}) &= E_+ - \epsilon_- - \mu_B/3, \\ \omega_3(\mathbf{p}) &= E_- - \epsilon_- + \mu_B/3, \\ \omega_4(\mathbf{p}) &= E_- + \epsilon_- - \mu_B/3 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

with the definition

$$\begin{aligned} E_\pm &= \sqrt{(\epsilon_+ \pm \mu_I/2)^2 + \Delta^2}, \\ \epsilon_\pm &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q})^2+m^2} \pm \sqrt{(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})^2+m^2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Under the Pauli-Villars scheme, the summation over the quasiparticles in the potential is regularized as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} g[\omega_i] \to \sum_{i=1}^{4}\sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j g[\omega_{ij}], \tag{12}$$

and the quasiparticle dispersions $\omega_i, E_\pm$ and $\epsilon_\pm$ are respectively replaced by the regularized ones $\omega_{ij}, E_{\pm j}$

and $\epsilon_{\pm j}$ by regulating the quark mass $m \to m_j = \sqrt{m^2 + a_j\Lambda^2}$. The coefficients a_j and c_j are determined by the constraints

$$a_0 = 0,\ c_0 = 1,$$
$$\sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j m_j^{2L} = 0,\ L = 0, 1, ...N-1. \qquad (13)$$

While taking $N = 2$ in the Pauli-Villars scheme is sufficient to regularize the quadratic divergencies in the momentum integrations in (9) and obtain finite results from the gap equations, the thermodynamic potential is still logarithmically divergent, which can then be subtracted by redefining the ground state in vacuum. To regularize the potential itself, $N = 3$ is required. We have numerically checked the regularization schemes with $N = 2$ and $N = 3$ and found that they give almost the same results for the order parameters and the thermodynamical functions. Therefore, we only present in the following the results with $N = 3$.

Compared with the hard three-momentum cutoff, the Pauli-Villars scheme solves the problem of unphysical terms. The thermodynamic potential outside the inhomogeneous pion superfluid recovers the case of free quark gas, $\Omega(q, 0, m) = \Omega(0, 0, m)$, since the quark momentum runs up to infinity and one can make variable shift in momentum integrals, and the zero superfluid density in normal phase is automatically satisfied. In this case, the gap equations are well defined to determine the ground state of the system, and one needs no longer any substraction.

We now show the numerical results in the Pauli-Villars scheme (PV) and make comparison with the hard three-momentum cutoff scheme (Λ). Fig.1 shows the pion condensate $\Delta(\mu_I)$ and quark mass (chiral condensate) $m(\mu_I)$ scaled by the quark mass in vacuum $m(0)$ at $T = \mu_B = 0$. Starting from $\mu_I = m_\pi$, the system enters the superfluid phase with non-zero pion condensate. As one expected, the chiral condensate which controls the system at low μ_I is almost independent of the regularization schemes, but the pion condensate which becomes dominant at high μ_I is sensitive to the scheme we used.

The pion superfluid phase diagram on the scaled $\mu_I - \mu_B$ plane is shown in Fig.2. At $\mu_B = 0$ the phase transition from normal state to pion superfluid happens at $\mu_I = m_\pi$. With increasing μ_B, the mismatch between the Fermi surfaces of u and anti-d quarks reduces the pion condensate. At high enough μ_B, the system comes back to the normal phase. In the low μ_I region of the pion superfluid phase, a gapless Sarma state appears near the critical baryon chemical potential under the condition of $\mu_B > 3\sqrt{(m - \mu_I/2)^2 + \Delta^2}$ where the condensate Δ is lower than in the gapped superfluid state. Since the chemical potentials μ_I and μ_B affect the pion superfluid in an opposite way, the critical baryon chemical potential is expected to increase with increasing μ_I, its decrease should be artificial and unphysical. At large μ_I, an inhomogeneous LOFF state between the homogeneous pion superfluid and normal state is predicted in the hard cutoff scheme [32], see the region surrounded by dashed lines in Fig.2. This small LOFF region is, however, removed in the Pauli-Villars scheme. The LOFF state is generally expected to appear in the weak coupling limit and the LOFF window decreases with increasing coupling strength of the matter [11, 25, 26, 37–39]. Since the pion superfluid is always in a strongly coupled state, indicated by the large condensate [11] and strong quark potential [40], the disappearance of the LOFF state in the pion superfluid phase looks reasonable. In fact, the LOFF region under the hard three-momentum cutoff is located at large μ_I and μ_B where the quark chemical potential $\mu_q = \mu_B/3 + \mu_I/2$ exceeds already the cutoff Λ and the calculation in this case becomes quantitatively not reliable. Moreover, the process to subtract the unphysical terms in Ω in the hard cutoff scheme is not unique and persuasive, which may results in the artificial LOFF state.

The coupling strength in a matter can be described by the sound velocity $c_s^2 = \partial P/\partial\epsilon$. As shown in Fig.3, in the pion superfluid it goes up rapidly near the critical



FIG. 1: (Color online) The scaled pion condensates $\Delta(\mu_I)/m(0)$ and quark mass $m(\mu_I)/m(0)$ as functions of scaled isospin chemical potential μ_I/m_π at $T = \mu_B = 0$ in Pauli-Villars (PV, solid lines) and hard three-momentum cutoff (Λ, dashed lines) schemes.



FIG. 2: (Color online) The pion superfluid phase diagram on the scaled $\mu_I - \mu_B$ plane at $T = 0$ in the Pauli-Villars (PV) and hard cutoff (Λ) schemes. The shaded regions mean the gapless Sarma state, and the LOFF state in the hard cutoff scheme is removed in the Pauli-Villars scheme.

point $\mu_I = m_\pi$ and becomes saturated fast with values $c_s^2 = 0.63$ in Pauli-Villars scheme and 0.73 in hard cutoff scheme, and both are much larger than the value $\sim 1/3$ in the normal quark matter. It indicates clearly that the pion superfluid is in the strongly coupled state even at extremely large μ_I.



FIG. 3: (Color online) The sound velocity $c_s^2(\mu_I)$ at $T = \mu_B = 0$ in the pion superfluid in Pauli-Villars (PV) and hard cutoff (Λ) schemes. The dotted line is for the normal quark matter (NQ) at $T = 0$, $\mu_B = 900$MeV in the Pauli-Villars scheme.

There are many studies on the structure of compact stars in the phase of color superconductivity [8–10]. It is found that the color superconductivity does not change the mass-radius relation clearly, since the sound velocity $c_s^2 \sim 1/3$ is almost the same as the normal quark matter. Considering the strong coupling shown above at large isospin density, we expect a substantial change in the mass and radius of a compact star of pion superfluid.

For a nonrotating and spherically symmetric star, its mass and radius are determined by the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) equations [41, 42],

$$\frac{dP}{dr} = -\frac{G_N(\epsilon + P)\left(M + 4\pi r^3 P\right)}{r^2(1 - 2G_N M/r)},$$
$$\frac{dM}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \epsilon, \qquad (14)$$

where $P(r)$ and $\epsilon(r)$ are the pressure and energy density at radius r inside the star and $M(r)$ is the total mass contained within a sphere of radius r.

Substituting an equation of state $P(\epsilon)$ and giving a fixed central pressure $P(r = 0)$, one can numerically solving the star mass and radius by integrating the TOV equations from the center of the star up to its surface $r = R$ where the pressure reaches its perturbative value $P(R) = B$ with the MIT bag constant $B = 75$ MeV fm^{-3} [43]. The mass-radius relation for compact stars in the pion superfluid state is shown in Fig.4 at $T = 0$ and $\mu_B = 600$ MeV. In comparison with the normal quark matter (NQ, dashed-dotted line), the star in the pion superfluid state supports a larger mass and radius, since the equation of state of the pion superfluid with $c_s^2 \gg 1/3$ is much harder. Since the sound velocities in the Pauli-Villars and hard cutoff regularization schemes are similar, the mass-radius relation is not sensitive to the scheme we used. In both cases, the maximum star mass and radius can reach $\sim 3M_\odot$ and ~ 14 km, while they are only $\sim 1.8M_\odot$ and ~ 10 km in ideal quark matter. Referring to the measured data [3–5], the pion superfluid is a candidate to explain the massive compact stars like PSR $J1311$-3430[4].



FIG. 4: The mass-radius relation of compact stars in the state of pion superfluid in Pauli-Villars (PV) and hard cutoff (Λ) schemes at $T = 0$ and $\mu_B = 600$ MeV. CL and NQ mean the causal limit with sound velocity $c_s^2 = 1$ and normal quark matter with $c_s^2 = 1/3$.



FIG. 5: The mass and radius $M(\mu_I)$ and $R(\mu_I)$ of compact stars in the state of pion superfluid in Pauli-Villars regularization scheme at $T = 0$ and $\mu_B = 600$ MeV.

With increasing isospin density in the pion superfluid, there exists a crossover from the BEC to BCS states [20–24], characterized by the effective chemical potential $\tilde{\mu} = \mu_I/2 - m(\mu_I)$. In the BEC state at low $\mu_I \to m_\pi$, the system can be considered as a weakly coupled pion gas and the maximum pressure $P(0)$ at the center may not reach the condition $P(0) > B$ to form a stable star. In the BCS state at high μ_I, however, the maximum pressure is much larger than the bag constant, $P(0) \gg B$, the quark matter is strongly compressed and it is possible to build up a massive star. Fig.5 shows the star mass and radius as functions of μ_I. A massive star of pion superfluid can exist only when the matter is in the state close to the BCS limit.

In summary, we investigated the pion condensation

at finite isospin density in the frame of Pauli-Villars regularized NJL model and the structure of compact stars in the state of pion superfluid. Taking the advantage of keeping spatial symmetry in the Pauli-Villars scheme, there is no need to introduce subtraction terms in the model for the study of inhomogeneous pion superfluid, and the LOFF state which appears in the hard cutoff scheme is removed. By solving the TOV equations with the equation of state from the NJL model, the massive stars with mass $M \simeq 3M_{\odot}$ and radius $R \simeq 14$ km can be explained by the strongly interaction pion superfluid with large pion condensate at high isospin density.

Acknowledgement: The work is supported by the NSFC and MOST grant Nos. 11335005, 2013CB922000 and 2014CB845400.

[1] M.Alford, D.Blaschke, A.Drago, T.Klahn, G.Pagliara and S.B.Juergen, Nature **445**, E7(2007).
[2] J.M.Lattimer and M.Prakash, Phys. Rept. **442**, 109(2007).
[3] S.B.Juergen, arXiv:0709.1043.
[4] R.W.Romani, A.V.Filippenko, J.M.Silverman, S.B.Cenko, J.Greiner, A.Rau, J.Elliott and H.Pletsch, Astro. Phys. J. **760**, 36(2012).
[5] D.J.Nice, E.M.Splaver, I.H.Stairs, O.Loehmer, A.Jessmer, M.Kramer and J.M.Cordes, Astro. Phys. J. **634**, 1242(2005).
[6] M.Alford, K.Rajagopal and F.Wilczek, Phys. Lett. **B422**, 247(1998).
[7] R.Rapp, T.Schäfer, E.V.Shuryak and M.Velkovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 53(1998).
[8] I.Shovkovy, M.Hanauske and M.Huang, Phys. Rev. **D67**, 103004(2003).
[9] B.R.Stefan and H.R.Dirk, Phys. Rev. **D69**, 045011(2004).
[10] M.Alford and S.Reddy, Phys. Rev. **D67**, 074024(2003).
[11] D.T.Son and M.A.Stephanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 592(2001).
[12] J.B.Kogut and D.K.Sinclair, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 034505(2002).
[13] Y.Nambu and G.Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. **122**, 345(1961).
[14] Y.Nambu and G.Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. **124**, 246(1961).
[15] U.Vogl, W.Weise, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **27**, 195(1991).
[16] S.P. Klevansky, Rev. Mod. Phys. **64**, 649(1992).
[17] M.K.Volkov, Phys. Part. Nucl. **24**, 35(1993).
[18] T.Hatsuda, T.Kunihiro, Phys. Rept. **247**, 338(1994).
[19] M.Buballa, Phys. Rept. **407**, 205(2005).
[20] G.Sun, L.He and P.Zhuang, Phys. Rev. **D75**, 096004(2007).
[21] L.He, M.Jin and P.Zhuang, Phys. Rev. **D74**, 036005(2006).
[22] C.Mu and P.Zhuang, Phys. Rev. **D79**, 094006(2009).
[23] S.Mao and P.Zhuang, Phys. Rev. **D86**, 097502(2012).
[24] L.He, S.Mao and P.Zhuang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A28**, 1330054(2013).
[25] P.Fulde and R.Ferrel, Phys. Rev. **A135**, 550(1964).
[26] A.I.Larkin and Y.N.Ovchinnikov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **47**, 1136(1964) [Sov. Phys. JETP**20**, 762(1965)].
[27] G.Sarma. J. Phys. Chem. Solids**24**, 1029(1963).
[28] W.Liu and F.Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 047002(2003).
[29] M.Alford and Q.Wang, J. Phys. **G31**, 719(2005).
[30] K.Fukushima, Phys. Rev. **D72**, 074002(2005).
[31] L.He, M.Jin and P.Zhuang, Phys. Rev. **D75**, 036003(2007).
[32] C.Mu, L.He, and Y.Liu, Phys. Rev. **D82**, 056006(2010).
[33] W.Florkowski, B.L.Friman, Acta Phys. Polon, B 25:49-71 (1994); Z. Phys. A 347: 271-276 (1994).
[34] D.Nickel and M.Buballa, Phys. Rev. **D79**, 054009(2009).
[35] K.Fukushima and K.Iida, Phys. Rev. **D76**, 054004 (2007).
[36] J.Anderson and T.Brauner, Phys. Rev. **D81**, 096004 (2010).
[37] K.Splittorff, D.T.Son and M.A.Stephanov, Phys. Rev. **D64**, 016003(2001).
[38] M.Alford, J.A.Bowers and K.Rajagopal, Phys. Rev. **D63**, 074016(2001).
[39] R.Casalbuoni, Rev. Mod. Phys. **76**, 1(2004).
[40] Y.Jiang, K.Ren, T.Xia and P.Zhuang, Eur. Phys. J. **C71**, 1822(2011).
[41] R.C.Tolman, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, **20**, 3(1934).
[42] J.Oppenheimer and G.Volkoff. Phys. Rev. **55**, 374(1939).
[43] A.Chodos, R.L.Jaffe, K.Johnson, C.B.Thorn and V.F.Weisskopf, Phys. Rev. **D9**, 3471(1974).